

REMARKS

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

The drawings have been objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for allegedly failing to show every feature of the claimed invention. In order to expedite the prosecution of this application, claim 3 has been canceled thereby obviating this objection to the drawings.

The disclosure has been objected to because of alleged informalities. Applicant kindly directs the Examiner's attention to the fact that one embodiment of the LED light source unit with first and second printed circuits P1, P2 with a groove 50 having a bottom face 51 is shown in Figs. 5-8 and described in pages 9-12 of the specification. Another embodiment of the LED light source unit with first and second printed circuits Pu, Po with a straight through (bottomless) groove 150 is shown in Figs. 9-10 and described in pages 14-17 of the specification. Applicant respectfully submits that different reference characters were used to identify various elements, including the first and second printed circuits, groove, etc., to depict differences between these two different embodiments of the LED light source unit. It is respectfully requested that the objection to the disclosure be withdrawn.

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the Examiner's finding that claims 6-9 are allowed. Accordingly, in order to expedite the prosecution of this application, claims 1-5 and 8 have been canceled without prejudice to represent the these claims in a continuation application.

Claim 1 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,679,618 (Suckow et al.). Claim 2 and 5 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Suckow et al. in view of U.S. Patent 6,351,594 (Nakamura et al.). Claim 4 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Suckow et al. in view of U.S. Patent 6,851,831 (Karlicek et al.). In order to expedite the prosecution of this application and without admitting propriety of these rejections, applicant wishes to accept the allowed claims 6, 7, and 9 without prejudice to represent the rejected claims in a continuation application. Allowed claim 6 has been amended to delete the phrase "by a groove forming technique" since the Examiner has indicated that "the method of

forming the groove is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself.” (Office Action, page 3).

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0624, under Order No. KIT 366-US (10402618) from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Respectfully submitted,

By 

C. Andrew Im

Registration No.: 40,657

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.

666 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10103

(212) 318-3000

(212) 318-3400 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant